

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_112739
Title of the Manuscript:	IDENTIFYING OPTIMAL CONCEPTUAL DESIGN OF A BRIQUETTING MACHINE
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>The article has merit for publication, but before that, it needs a revision. 1. Discuss the future scope of the results related to your topic.</p> <p>Modify</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Add some recent references and write some points in introduction part. Authors can refer https://doi.org/10.3390/math11173734 https://dx.doi.org/10.22436/jmcs.033.04.06 a. https://doi.org/10.3390/math11173734 b. http://dx.doi.org/10.22436/jmcs.032.03.04 c. https://dx.doi.org/10.20944/preprints201807.0135.v2</p>	<p>Agreed with the reviewer. The future scope of work is highlighted in the last five lines of the conclusion</p> <p>Authors has added a point on other mathematical theories for MCDM models. See last two lines of paragraph 4 One of the references which applies to the article has been added</p>
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Okay	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	